ANNEX E

Local Ward Councillor Consultation Summary – Tadcaster Rd / St Helens

This scheme has used a Level 2 consultation process, in line with the document 'TSAR – Consultation Strategy'. Comments from internal stakeholder have been incorporated into the current design and are omitted from this table.

The following table summarises the consultation responses from Local Ward Councillors.

Consultee	Comment	Designer Response
		In response to [Personal Data redacted] comments:
Cllr Reid	We showed residents the plans at our Ward Committee meeting last week and there was general support for them. They certainly feel that removing the island would be of benefit as it would stop the damage that has been caused by large lorries who seem unable to make the turn. One of the residents who attended was [Personal Data redacted] which is [Personal Data redacted] I sent him the drawings and he has replied with the following:- Basically, a great improvement!! However, 2 points as follows:	 The controller box will be marginally smaller than the existing cabinet and black in colour not grey. We proposed moving the cabinet to the boundary of the church [Personal Data redacted] to lesson it's visual impact on the church and to move it away from the new pedestrian crossing location so as to provide as much waiting space for pedestrians as possible. We should be able to bring the box closer to the crossing point and thus [Personal Data redacted] however, we aren't able to retain the existing location. We intend to include audibles devices to assist blind and partially sighted pedestrians crossing the road. These will only be active during the hours of 08:00 to 20:00. We can also adjust the volume of the
	 Relocating the existing 1.5m high ugly old Traffic Control box in the York direction [Personal Data redacted] Why not leave it where it is?? Or make it less obtrusive?? Would there be lots of irritating electronic "beeps" and flashing red/green men from the Pedestrian controls??People leaving the pub late at night and crossing the Road(s)may [Personal Data redacted] Are you able to answer his questions and allay his concerns? 	 audibles to make sure they are not too intrusive during their operational times. We'll be using near-sided Puffin style red / green men which don't flash and should not be disruptive. We'll be consulting with [Personal Data redacted] and all the other impacted residents regarding the detailed proposals once we have approval to proceed with the scheme from the Exec. This will allow members of the public to see exactly where the new crossing points and street furniture will be located and give them a chance to get their views across prior to final design and construction.

Cllr Fenton	My only question relates to the anticipated impact on traffic flow. Do you think it likely that the creation of an additional crossing point will lead to an increase in stationary traffic on Tadcaster Road and/or St Helens Road?	The traffic modelling undertaken as part of the preliminary design of the Tadcaster Road / St Helen's Road scheme showed that there would not be a significant increase in queuing on any of the approaches when compared to the existing situation. The provision of straight across crossings over all arms can be achieved within the junction's capacity with a similar Practical Reserve Capacity (PRC) and total delay results to the existing situation. The refurbishment provides the opportunity to retain the existing capacity at the junction while significantly improving the pedestrian facilities at the junction. This is in line with the road user hierarchy that is set out in City of York's Local Transport Plan. The modelling undertaken assumes that the junction timing would be optimised for the existing traffic conditions which means that there would be minor changes on queuing and delay on each approach but minimal overall change. I hope this answers your question but if you need further information please let me know.
-------------	---	--